

Customer No.: 31561  
Application No: 10/605,565  
Docket No.:10056-US-PA

### REMARKS

#### Claim Rejections

The Examiner requires the specification should be revised carefully to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The outstanding Office Action rejected Claims 1-4 and 10 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung (US 6,948,058, hereinafter "Tung") in view of Wen et al (US 6,928,542, hereinafter "Wen"). The outstanding Office Action rejected Claims 5 and 9 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable Tung in view of Wen, and further in view of Du et al (US patent application publication 2003/0188144, hereinafter "Du"). Claims 6-8 are objected to, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Discussion of rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

The Examiner requires the specification should be revised carefully to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Applicant submits a substitute specification for correcting some unclear, inexact or verbose terms and numerous typographical errors. Also, Applicant states that the substitute specification contains no new matter because the substitute specification is only for correction.

Customer No.: 31561  
Application No: 10/605,565  
Docket No.: 10056-US-PA

**Discussion of claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)**

In the outstanding Office Action mailed on 2006/11/25, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-4 and 10 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tung in view of Wen. The outstanding Office Action rejected Claims 5 and 9 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable Tung in view of Wen, and further in view of Du. Claims 6-8 are objected to, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant believes that claims 1-10 also recite allowable subject matter without any further amendments. However, to expedite prosecution, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to recite allowable subject matter. Specifically, Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include subject matter recited in claim 6 which the Examiner indicated was allowable. Applicant has also canceled claim 6 without prejudice or disclaimer. Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 1-5 and 7-10 are allowable.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Applicant therefore requests the Examiner's reconsideration of the application, and the timely allowance of the pending claims.


Customer No.: 31561  
Application No: 10/605,565  
Docket No.:10056-US-PA

**CONCLUSION**

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the all pending claims are in proper condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: Nov. 24, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

  
Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor-1, No. 100  
Roosevelt Road, Section 2  
Taipei, 100  
Taiwan  
Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800  
Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233  
Email: [belinda@jicpgroup.com.tw](mailto:belinda@jicpgroup.com.tw)  
[Usa@jicpgroup.com.tw](mailto:Usa@jicpgroup.com.tw)